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## ABSTRACT

The aim of the research was to assess the bioequivalence between Rapidfilm ${ }^{\oplus}$, a new patented delivery system, versus the traditional orodispersible tablet (ODT).
A randomized, two-way, single dose, crossover, bioequivalence study was conducted in 24 fasting, healthy volunteers with two formulations of ondansetron (Ondansetron Rapidfilm ${ }^{\oplus}$ vs. Zofran ${ }^{\oplus}$ Zydis ${ }^{\oplus}$ Lingual ODT by GlaxoSmithKline GmbH \& Co. KG).
Plasma samples were analysed by a validated LC-MS/MS method during a collection period of 24 h post-dosing. The analysis of variance (ANOVA) on the targeted pharmacokinetic parameters did not show any significant difference between the two formulations and $90 \%$ confidence intervals (Cls) fell within the common acceptance range of $80-125 \%$, satisfying the bioequivalence criteria. These results allow Rapidfilm ${ }^{\oplus}$ to claim the same panel of indications of the conventional immediate release oral solid dosage forms, but offering several advantages also over the ODT: it can result in higher patient convenience for several applications.
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